

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/658,709 09/08/2003 Kuo-Hsing Teng 67,200-1150 2302 EXAMINER 7590 12/20/2005 **TUNG & ASSOCIATES** BUEKER, RICHARD R Suite 120 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 838 W. Long Lake Road Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302 1763

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/658,709	TENG ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Richard Bueker	1763			

	Richard Bueker		1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover she	eet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN C	ONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an an stice of Appeal (with a	nendment, af ppeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the	e date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX		-	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the correspo shortened statutory perion than three months after	onding amount od for reply original to the contract of the con	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFF	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or sea	f filing a brief arch (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by	-		the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>21</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3</u> , <u>5</u> , <u>9-11</u> , <u>13</u> , <u>17-25</u> .	will not be entered	a, or b) ∐ wi ded.	II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons w	hy the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections	s under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the o	claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the	application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1	449) Paper N	lo(s)	
		Ĥ	Richard Bucker Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1763	_



U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: In the proposed amendment to claim 1, applicants have added a portion of claim 2 subject matter to claim 1, but not all of the subject matter of claim 2. This results in a new claim 1 that differs in scope from any previously pending claim, and thus raises new issues requiring further consideration after final. Other new issues In the proposed amended claims are found in the following locations: claim 1, line 2; claim 1, line 5; claim 1, line 10; claim 2, line 7 (where "nozzle openings" is changed to "openings"); claim 9, line 2; claim 9, line 3 and 4; claim 9, line 10 (where "nozzle openings" is changed to "openings"); claim 13, line 2; claim 17, line 8; claim 17, lines 9 and 10; claim 19, line 2; claim 20, line 5; claim 20, lines 7 and 8; all of claim 21; and claim 25, line 2.